IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH JULY 2007 / 13TH ASHADHA 1929

OP.No. 9260 of 2001(B)

PETITIONERS:
----

1. **C.K.KRISHNAN,**
   SPECIAL TAHSILDAR,
   LAND ACQUISITION,
   KERALA HOUSING BOARD, KOZHIKODE – 6.

2. **P.V.GEORGE,**
   TAHSILDAR,
   IDUKKI DISTRICT (UNDER P & M TRAINING),
   COLLECTORATE, IDUKKY.

BY ADV. SRI.M.P.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
SRI.DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**

2. **THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE, TRIVANDRUM.**

3. **THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. V.T.K. MOHANAN

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON C.M.P.NOS.14860 & 17811/2001 IN OP.NO.9260/2001 B

DISMISSED

4/07/2007 **SD/- T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT NO.LR(T) 3.32802/99 DTD. 12/12/2000.**

EXT.P2: **PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT NO.LR(T)2.32801/99 DTD. 12/12/2000.**

EXT.P3: **JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.4691/2000 DTD. 25/07/2000 OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF KERALA.**

EXT.P4: **GOVERNMENT ORDER DTD. 16/03/2001 NO.G.O.(RT) 710/2001/RD.**

EXT.P5: **GOVERNMENT ORDER DTD. 8/03/2001 NO.G.O.(RT) NO.710/2001/RD.**

EXT.P6: **SENIORITY LIST.**

/TRUE COPY/

KSS

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

O.P.No.9260 of 2001-B.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 4th day of July, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners approached this Court seeking for a direction mainly to effect promotions to the post of Deputy Collectors and for a direction to draw up a seniority list of Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars settled as per Ext.P1 proceedings. The petitioners subsequently filed CMP.No.17811/2001 complaining that their juniors are being promoted and therefore the respondents have a duty to promote them also. On 30-3-2001, urgent notice was also ordered in the above CMP. It appears that the 2nd petitioner has retired from service already going by the age mentioned in the affidavit. Therefore, the writ petition has become infructuous and the same is dismissed. This will be without prejudice to the rights of the petitioners to seek appropriate reliefs from the competent authorities if they have got any subsisting grievances.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Kvs/-